

# Dirac's Relativistic Eq<sup>n</sup>

This eq<sup>n</sup> is similar to the Schrodinger eq<sup>n</sup> for non-relativistic particles, given by Dirac in 1928.

- This eq<sup>n</sup> gives:
- (i) The correct interpretation of the negative energy states.
  - (ii) It includes the electron spin concept.

Schrodinger put

$$E = \pm \sqrt{p^2 c^2 + m^2 c^4}$$

But could not get proper eq<sup>n</sup> which can solve H atom properly. So he was disappointed and put this eq<sup>n</sup> aside.

K-G put  $E^2 = p^2 c^2 + m^2 c^4$  this eq<sup>n</sup> solves the exact problem of H atom but according to it the density or probability density or probability amplitude comes out to be negative which is not possible.

Hence, Dirac wants to construct a eq<sup>n</sup> in which the probability comes out to be automatically positive.

Dirac concluded that the -ve sign was due to the square of  $E$ .

Dirac wants to develop an eq<sup>n</sup> which is of I-order in time only i.e. it is of  $E$  only not  $E^2$ .

For this he write energy in first order

$$E = H = c\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{p} + \beta mc^2 \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

Instead of  $E = (p^2 c^2 + m^2 c^4)^{1/2}$  (hypothesis) it consists of two terms  $mc^2$  energy and  $\vec{p}$  ( $p_x, p_y, p_z$ ).  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are two matrices not the numbers because they do not commute with each other. Put this value of energy  $H$  in Schrodinger eq<sup>n</sup>.

$$\hat{H} \Psi(x, t) = i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi(x, t)}{\partial t} \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

$$(c\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{p} + \beta mc^2) \Psi(x, t) = i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi(x, t)}{\partial t}$$

substituting operator for  $p$  by  $-i\hbar \nabla$ ,  
we obtain

$$[-i\hbar c \vec{\alpha} \cdot \nabla + \beta m c^2] \psi(\mathbf{r}, t) = i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\partial t}$$

$$\left[ (-i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + i\hbar c \vec{\alpha} \cdot \nabla - \beta m c^2) \psi(\mathbf{r}, t) = 0 \right] \quad (4)$$

This is the Dirac's eq<sup>n</sup> for free particle.

Again, from (3)

$$(c \vec{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{p} + \beta m c^2) \psi(\mathbf{r}, t) = E \psi(\mathbf{r}, t)$$

$$\text{or } (E - c \vec{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{p} - \beta m c^2) \psi = 0 \quad (5)$$

Operating above eq<sup>n</sup> by  $(E + c \vec{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{p} + \beta m c^2)$   
from left we get

$$(E + c \vec{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{p} + \beta m c^2)(E - c \vec{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{p} - \beta m c^2) \psi = 0$$

$$[E^2 - (c \vec{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{p} + \beta m c^2)^2] \psi = 0$$

$$[E^2 - c^2 (\vec{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{p})^2 - \beta^2 m^2 c^4 - m c^3 (\vec{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{p}) \beta - m c^3 \beta \vec{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{p}] \psi = 0 \quad (6)$$

But  $\vec{\alpha} = i\alpha_x + j\alpha_y + k\alpha_z$ ,  $\mathbf{p} = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + j\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + k\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$

$\therefore \vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{p} = \alpha_x p_x + \alpha_y p_y + \alpha_z p_z$

therefore eq<sup>n</sup> (6) becomes

$$[E^2 - c^2(\alpha_x p_x + \alpha_y p_y + \alpha_z p_z)^2 - \beta^2 m^2 c^4 - mc^3(\alpha_x p_x + \alpha_y p_y + \alpha_z p_z)\beta - mc^3 \beta(\alpha_x p_x + \alpha_y p_y + \alpha_z p_z)]\psi = 0$$

(7) 
$$[E^2 - c^2(\alpha_x^2 p_x^2 + \alpha_y^2 p_y^2 + \alpha_z^2 p_z^2 + (\alpha_x \alpha_y + \alpha_y \alpha_x) p_x p_y + (\alpha_y \alpha_z + \alpha_z \alpha_y) p_y p_z + (\alpha_z \alpha_x + \alpha_x \alpha_z) p_z p_x - \beta^2 m^2 c^4 - mc^3\{(\alpha_x \beta + \beta \alpha_x) p_x + (\alpha_y \beta + \beta \alpha_y) p_y + (\alpha_z \beta + \beta \alpha_z) p_z\}]\psi = 0$$

where the substitutions  $i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$  (8)

for E and  $i\hbar \nabla$  for p

are implied. Klein-Gordon eq<sup>n</sup> is

$$\beta^2 = \alpha^2 (p_x^2 + p_y^2 + p_z^2) = \hbar^2 c^2 / \psi = 0$$

considering eq<sup>n</sup> (8) and (9), and obtain (9)

$$\alpha_x^2 = \alpha_y^2 = \alpha_z^2 = \beta^2 = 1$$

$$\alpha_x \alpha_y + \alpha_y \alpha_x = 0;$$

$$(\alpha_y \alpha_z + \alpha_z \alpha_y) = 0;$$

$$(\alpha_z \alpha_x + \alpha_x \alpha_z) = 0$$

$$\alpha_x \beta + \beta \alpha_x = 0; (\alpha_y \beta + \beta \alpha_y) = 0;$$

$$(\alpha_z \beta + \beta \alpha_z) = 0$$

That is the four quantities  $\alpha_x$ ,  $\alpha_y$ ,  $\alpha_z$  and  $\beta$  have the following properties:-

- (i) their squares are unity and
- (ii) they anticommute with one another in pairs

Since  $\vec{\alpha}$  and  $\beta$  anticommute rather than commute with each other, they cannot be numbers.

Moreover the quantities of this type can be expressed in terms of matrices and it is convenient to find a matrix representation of them.